UPSC & STATE PCS CURRENT AFFAIRS · UJIYARI.COM

EDITORIAL ANALYSIS

Opening the Market, Fixing the Conduct: IRDAI's Reform Package and Bima Sugam

INDIAN EXPRESS

1 August 2026 · ECONOMY · GS3 GS2

CURATED & WRITTEN BY

Bharat Choudhary

UPSC Educator & Content Creator

linkedin.com/in/epicbharat

Opening the Market, Fixing the Conduct: IRDAI's Reform Package and Bima Sugam

The Indian Express 1 August 2026 GS3 GS2

INTERVIEW ANGLE

"A common comparison marketplace makes insurance products directly comparable on price, which is good for consumers but may push the market toward the cheapest product rather than the most suitable one. How would you design Bima Sugam so that comparability improves suitability rather than only lowering price?"

Every fact web-verified against primary sources

THE LIFT LINE

India did not fail to insure itself for want of capital. It failed because buying insurance meant trusting a person whose income depended on which product you bought.

WHY THIS EDITORIAL MATTERS FOR YOUR EXAM

Financial-sector regulation is a recurring GS3 theme, and this editorial models the analytically strong move: asking which constraint was actually binding before evaluating whether a reform addresses it, rather than assessing each reform on its own stated terms.

GS Paper 3: Indian economy; mobilisation of resources; effects of liberalisation; financial sector regulation and inclusion.

GS Paper 2: Statutory, regulatory and quasi-judicial bodies; government policies and interventions.

For **Prelims**, fix IRDAI's establishing statute and headquarters, and the Bima Sugam concept.

CONCEPT	MEANING	WHY UPSC TESTS IT
IRDAI	Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India, established under the IRDA Act, 1999; headquartered in Hyderabad	Foundational regulatory-body fact
Insurance penetration	Insurance premiums as a percentage of GDP	The standard metric on which India underperforms comparable economies
Bima Sugam	A common digital marketplace for comparing and purchasing insurance products across insurers	The distribution-side reform at the centre of this package
Mis-selling	Sale of a product unsuited to the buyer's needs, typically driven by commission incentives	The conduct problem underlying India's insurance trust deficit

BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

India's insurance sector was opened to private participation with foreign investment permitted at progressively higher caps from 2000 onward, culminating in the current framework permitting full foreign ownership. Despite this sustained liberalisation, insurance penetration has remained low by international comparison, and the sector has carried a persistent reputational problem around mis-selling and unclaimed amounts.

REFORM STRAND	INSTRUMENT
Capital liberalisation	Full foreign ownership permitted under amended insurance law
Market infrastructure	Bima Sugam common comparison-and-purchase marketplace
Conduct accountability	Salesperson tagging to every proposal, policy and certificate
Enforcement	Revised penalty-imposition regulations
Policyholder protection	Policyholders' Education and Protection Fund under Section 16A, IRDA Act, 1999

THE CORE ARGUMENT / ISSUE

Ask which constraint was binding

Two decades of progressively liberalised foreign investment did not produce the penetration increase liberalisation was expected to deliver. That is strong evidence that capital availability was not the limiting factor. A reform package's value should be assessed against the constraint that actually binds, and on the available evidence that constraint sits on the demand and distribution side rather than the supply side.

Why comparability is the key distribution reform

Insurance is a product most buyers purchase infrequently, cannot easily evaluate, and typically encounter through an intermediary. That combination, low purchase frequency, high product complexity, intermediated distribution, is precisely the structure in which mis-selling flourishes, because the buyer cannot assess the advice and the adviser's income depends on which product is chosen. A common marketplace permitting side-by-side comparison changes this by giving the buyer an independent basis for evaluation.

Why salesperson tagging matters more than it sounds

Mis-selling has historically been difficult to penalise not because rules were absent but because attribution was. When a policy is sold and later found unsuitable, holding anyone accountable requires knowing who sold it and what representation they made. Mandating that a named authorised salesperson be tagged to every proposal and policy creates that attribution, which is the precondition for enforcement rather than an administrative formality.

The counter-argument has real content

Foreign ownership is not purely a capital story. Mature-market insurers bring underwriting discipline, actuarial capability and product-design experience that a domestic market can absorb, and greater competitive intensity may itself improve conduct: an insurer whose products are displayed alongside competitors on a transparent marketplace faces a reputational discipline that did not exist when distribution ran through intermediaries the insurer effectively controlled.

The risk in the sequencing

If capital liberalisation arrives ahead of functioning conduct infrastructure, the result is a larger, more competitive market operating under the old conduct conditions, which would plausibly increase the absolute volume of mis-selling rather than reduce it. Competition improves outcomes when consumers can evaluate what they are buying; when they cannot, it drives competition toward whatever is easiest to sell.

HOW TO THINK ABOUT THIS (ANALYTICAL FRAME)

Before evaluating a reform, identify the constraint that was actually binding, and check whether the reform relaxes that constraint or a different one. Reform packages are usually justified in terms of what they enable, not in terms of what was preventing the outcome. A reform that relaxes a non-binding constraint produces no improvement regardless of how well designed it is. This binding-constraint discipline applies across policy domains: to industrial policy, to education reform, and to financial inclusion.

THE DIAGRAM IN WORDS

Picture insurance penetration as water that has failed to reach a field. One explanation is that the reservoir is empty, which would call for more capital. Two decades of liberalisation have steadily filled the reservoir, and the field is still dry, which suggests the reservoir was never the problem. Look at the channel instead: it runs through a gatekeeper who is paid according to which of several outlets the water goes down, and the farmer at the end cannot see which outlet leads where. Bima Sugam makes the outlets visible. Salesperson tagging makes the gatekeeper identifiable. Those are the reforms operating on the actual blockage.

WAY FORWARD

1. **Ensure conduct infrastructure is operational before full competitive intensity arrives**, so a larger market does not mean proportionally more mis-selling.
2. **Design Bima Sugam for suitability, not only price comparability**, since a marketplace optimised purely on premium will push buyers toward the cheapest rather than the most appropriate cover.
3. **Enforce salesperson-tagging obligations actively**, since the audit trail delivers accountability only if it is used in enforcement.
4. **Deploy the Policyholders' Education and Protection Fund toward tracing unclaimed amounts**, which is both a direct restitution measure and a visible trust-rebuilding signal.
5. **Publish penetration and grievance data by insurer**, letting the transparency the marketplace creates for products extend to the conduct record of the firms selling them.

PYQ LINKAGE AND PRACTICE

UPSC has tested financial-sector regulation, insurance penetration, FDI liberalisation and consumer protection across GS3 cycles, and this reform package allows a candidate to connect capital-account liberalisation to conduct regulation in a single, current example.

Practice question: "Liberalising capital in a sector whose binding constraint is consumer trust addresses the wrong problem." Examine this claim with reference to IRDAI's recent reform package and India's persistently low insurance penetration. (250 words, 15 marks)

Interview angle: A common comparison marketplace makes insurance products directly comparable on price, which is good for consumers but may push the market toward the cheapest product rather than the most suitable one. How would you design Bima Sugam so that comparability improves suitability rather than only lowering price?

Sources: The Indian Express, Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India, Ministry of Finance

KEY ARGUMENTS AT A GLANCE

IRDAI's reform package combines capital liberalisation, permitting 100 per cent foreign ownership under the amended insurance law, with market-infrastructure and conduct reform through Bima Sugam and revised penalty and salesperson-accountability regulations, and India's low insurance penetration is more likely to be a trust and distribution problem than a capital-availability problem, making the second set of reforms the more consequential.

✓ SUPPORTING

- India's insurance penetration has remained low relative to comparable economies despite the sector being open to substantial foreign participation for over two decades, which suggests capital availability was not the binding constraint on growth.
- Mis-selling, opaque product comparison and the accumulation of unclaimed policy amounts constitute a trust deficit that directly suppresses voluntary insurance purchase, and these are conduct problems that additional capital does not address.
- Bima Sugam, as a common comparison-and-purchase marketplace, attacks the distribution and comparability problem directly by letting a prospective policyholder evaluate products side by side rather than relying on an intermediary whose incentive is tied to a particular product.

⚠ COUNTER

Full foreign ownership does matter beyond capital: it can bring underwriting expertise, actuarial capability and product design experience from mature markets, and greater competitive intensity may itself discipline conduct more effectively than regulation, since insurers competing on a transparent marketplace have a reputational stake they lacked when distribution was intermediary-controlled.

→ WAY FORWARD

Sequence the reforms so conduct infrastructure precedes or accompanies capital expansion: ensure salesperson tagging, penalty regulations and the policyholders' protection fund are

> operational and enforced before the full competitive intensity of a liberalised market arrives, so that a larger market does not simply mean a larger mis-selling problem.

MAINS ANSWER FRAMEWORK

QUESTION

Examine IRDAI's recent insurance sector reforms, including full foreign ownership, the Bima Sugam marketplace and revised conduct regulations, and assess whether capital liberalisation alone can raise India's insurance penetration. (250 words)

INTRODUCTION

IRDAI's current reform package operates on two distinct fronts: capital liberalisation, permitting full foreign ownership of Indian insurers under the amended insurance legislation, and market-conduct reform through the Bima Sugam comparison-and-purchase marketplace, revised penalty regulations, and the policyholders' protection fund.

BODY

The analytically important question is which constraint has actually been binding on India's insurance penetration, which has remained low relative to comparable economies. Capital has been available to the sector for over two decades under progressively liberalised foreign-investment caps, and the persistence of low penetration through that period suggests capital availability was not the limiting factor.

The more plausible constraints are on the demand side and in distribution: prospective policyholders have historically been unable to compare products meaningfully, have relied on intermediaries whose commission incentives are tied to specific products rather than to suitability, and have encountered a mis-selling problem substantial enough to produce a durable trust deficit, evidenced partly by the accumulation of unclaimed policy amounts. Bima Sugam addresses this directly by creating a common marketplace where products can be compared side by side, reducing the informational advantage that made mis-selling viable.

The complementary conduct measures, particularly the tagging of an authorised salesperson to every proposal and policy, create the audit trail without which mis-selling cannot be attributed to anyone and therefore cannot be penalised. The reasonable counter-view is that foreign ownership brings more than capital, notably underwriting and actuarial expertise and product-design experience, and that competitive intensity on a transparent marketplace may discipline conduct more effectively than regulation alone.

CONCLUSION

The sequencing is what matters: if conduct infrastructure, salesperson accountability, enforceable penalties, and functioning grievance redressal, is operational before the full competitive intensity of a liberalised market arrives, liberalisation should raise penetration; if it lags, a larger and more competitive market risks producing a proportionally larger mis-selling problem.

RELATED DAILY ARTICLES

1 Aug Current Affairs Today, August 1, 2026

1 Aug Rs 2.11 Lakh Crore, But From Where? Reading July's GST...

1 Aug Samudra Manthan: India Bets Rs 84,084 Crore on Offshore...

1 Aug Solar on Water: PM Surya Sarovar Yojana and the Land...

CURATED & WRITTEN BY

Bharat Choudhary

UPSC Educator & Content Creator

linkedin.com/in/epicbharat

📖 Read Full Article on Ujiyari →

https://ujiyari.com/editorials/2026/08/ie-irdai-bima-sugam-insurance-reforms-2026/