



UPSC & STATE PCS CURRENT AFFAIRS · UJIYARI.COM

EDITORIAL ANALYSIS

The Impossible Trinity Closes In: RBI, the Rupee and the Limits of a Managed Float

 **THE HINDU**

29 July 2026 ·

ECONOMY**GS3**

CURATED & WRITTEN BY

**Bharat Choudhary**

UPSC Educator & Content Creator

 [linkedin.com/in/epicbharat](https://www.linkedin.com/in/epicbharat)**ALSO FROM THE CREATOR****BharatNotes**Free UPSC notes, MCQs, PYQ analysis. **100% Free.**bharatnotes.com → **ADVERTISE****Advertise with Ujiyari**

Reach thousands of UPSC aspirants daily.

 epicbharat@gmail.com

The Impossible Trinity Closes In: RBI, the Rupee and the Limits of a Managed Float

 The Hindu

29 July 2026

GS3



INTERVIEW ANGLE

"The Impossible Trinity says a country cannot have free capital flows, a fixed exchange rate and independent monetary policy at once. India claims to manage all three simultaneously through a "managed float." Is that a genuine fourth option, or is India just choosing which two it prioritises without saying so?"

✓ Every fact web-verified against primary sources

THE LIFT LINE

A managed float is not a fourth option beyond the Impossible Trinity. It is a way of paying the trinity's cost in smaller, more frequent instalments instead of one large one, and instalments still add up to the full bill.

WHY THIS EDITORIAL MATTERS FOR YOUR EXAM

Exchange-rate management is a recurring, technically precise GS3 topic, and the Impossible Trinity is one of the few genuinely portable economic frameworks UPSC expects candidates to apply to current events rather than merely recall.

GS Paper 3: Indian Economy and issues relating to planning, mobilisation of resources; effects of liberalisation on the economy; inclusive growth and issues arising from it; **monetary policy** and exchange rate management.

For **Prelims**, fix the Impossible Trinity's exact three legs and the specific names of the RBI's intervention instruments, since these are frequently tested by elimination among plausible-sounding alternatives.

CONCEPT	MEANING	WHY UPSC TESTS IT
Impossible Trinity (Mundell-Fleming trilemma)	A country can hold at most two of: fixed exchange rate, free capital mobility, independent monetary policy	The standard analytical frame for any exchange-rate-management question
Managed float	Exchange rate set by market forces but with active central bank intervention to reduce volatility	Distinguishes India's regime from a hard peg or a pure float
FCNR(B) deposits	Foreign Currency Non-Resident (Bank) deposit scheme attracting NRI foreign-currency deposits	A reserve-building tool distinct from direct market intervention
Non-deliverable forward (NDF)	An offshore derivative contract settled in a convertible currency, not the underlying (here, rupee)	Tests understanding of how offshore markets can influence onshore currency sentiment

BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

FACTOR	DETAIL
Rupee depreciation	Driven by trade shocks and a relatively favourable US interest-rate environment attracting capital away from India
Current account deficit	Structural driver rooted in oil-import dependence
Reserve position	Under strain from sustained intervention to smooth depreciation
RBI toolkit deployed	Spot interventions, forward contracts, FCNR(B) deposit schemes, non-deliverable forwards

THE CORE ARGUMENT / ISSUE

Why the trinity binds even for a “managed” regime

A managed float is often described as a **pragmatic** middle path, but the Impossible Trinity does not offer a discount for partial commitment: any intervention to smooth the exchange rate either draws on reserves (a finite resource) or constrains the independence of domestic monetary policy (since defending the currency can require interest-rate decisions driven by external pressure rather than domestic inflation or growth conditions). The RBI's various tools are best understood as different ways of borrowing against this constraint rather than escaping it.

The specific squeeze in 2026

Three pressures are compounding simultaneously: rupee depreciation itself, capital outflows as investors chase a more favourable US rate environment, and a structural current account deficit that means India persistently needs foreign capital or reserves to bridge the gap between what it earns and what it spends abroad, oil imports being the largest single driver. Each pressure alone would be manageable through the existing toolkit; together, they draw on the same limited pool of reserves and policy room simultaneously.

The case that this is manageable, not a crisis

The counter-argument is that India's reserve position, even under current strain, remains substantially larger than during past crisis episodes (such as 2013's "taper tantrum"), and the RBI has a long track record of calibrated intervention without abandoning any leg of the trinity outright. On this reading, the current pressure is a stress test the framework is built to absorb, not a sign that the managed-float model itself has failed.

Why the structural fix matters regardless

Even accepting that the current episode is manageable, the underlying **vulnerability**, a current account deficit driven substantially by oil-import dependence, does not go away once this particular round of pressure eases. Every future period of global rate divergence or oil-price volatility will reopen the same squeeze, which is why the editorial's core prescription is to treat oil dependence as the variable to actually change, rather than repeatedly refining the RBI's defensive toolkit.

HOW TO THINK ABOUT THIS (ANALYTICAL FRAME)

Distinguish a symptom-management tool from a structural fix. The RBI's intervention toolkit treats the symptom, exchange-rate volatility, and can do so skilfully for extended periods, but none of spot intervention, forwards, FCNR schemes or NDFs address the structural cause, the current account deficit's dependence on oil imports. When assessing any policy response to a recurring economic pressure, separate what the response manages from what actually caused the pressure, and ask whether the response has a natural limit (reserves, in this case) that the underlying cause does not respect.

THE DIAGRAM IN WORDS

Picture a bucket (foreign exchange reserves) being filled from one tap (export earnings, capital inflows) and drained from another (import payments, capital outflows, particularly oil). When the drain runs faster than the tap for a sustained period, as it does whenever oil prices or global capital flows turn unfavourable, the RBI's intervention tools function as a series of smaller buckets it can temporarily pour back in, FCNR deposits, forward contracts, NDF-linked confidence effects, each refilling the main bucket a little, but each also

requiring its own future refilling in turn. The picture's point is that no combination of smaller buckets changes the relative size of the two taps; only narrowing the drain, chiefly by reducing oil-import dependence, does that.

WAY FORWARD

- ❶ **Accelerate energy diversification** away from imported oil, through renewable capacity addition and electric-mobility adoption, to structurally narrow the current account deficit's largest driver.
- ❷ **Deepen domestic capital markets** to reduce reliance on volatile foreign portfolio inflows for financing the deficit.
- ❸ **Use the RBI's toolkit for smoothing, not defending a level**, avoiding the temptation to treat any specific exchange-rate level as a target the trinity does not actually permit defending indefinitely.
- ❹ **Build reserve adequacy buffers proactively** during periods of capital inflow, so the defensive toolkit has more room during the next period of outflow pressure.
- ❺ **Coordinate fiscal and monetary policy** on the current account deficit, since monetary tools alone cannot address a structural trade-and-energy-dependence problem.

PYQ LINKAGE AND PRACTICE

UPSC has tested exchange-rate regimes, the Impossible Trinity, and India's current account dynamics as recurring GS3 themes; this episode offers a current, dated case for applying the framework rather than merely defining it.

Practice question: "A managed exchange-rate float does not escape the Impossible Trinity; it distributes its cost differently." Examine this claim with reference to the RBI's current exchange-rate management challenge. (250 words, 15 marks)

Interview angle: The Impossible Trinity says a country cannot have free capital flows, a fixed exchange rate and independent monetary policy at once. India claims to manage all three simultaneously through a "managed float." Is that a genuine fourth option, or is India just choosing which two it prioritises without saying so?

Sources: The Hindu, Reserve Bank of India, Ministry of Finance

Source: The Impossible Trinity Closes In: RBI, the Rupee and the Limits of a Managed Float — [Ujiyari.com](https://ujiyari.com) | Free UPSC & State PCS Editorial Analysis

• KEY ARGUMENTS AT A GLANCE

The RBI's managed-float exchange-rate regime, squeezed simultaneously by rupee depreciation, capital outflows tied to a favourable US rate environment, and depleting forex reserves, is running into the structural limits the Impossible Trinity predicts, and its existing toolkit of spot interventions, forwards, FCNR deposits and NDFs is not a substitute for resolving that underlying trilemma.



SUPPORTING

- India's persistent current account deficit and oil-import dependence are structural vulnerabilities that no exchange-rate intervention tool can address, only mask temporarily.
- Each RBI defence mechanism, spot intervention, forward contracts, FCNR deposit schemes, non-deliverable forwards, draws down reserves or creates future repayment obligations, meaning today's defence borrows against tomorrow's room to manoeuvre.
- A favourable US interest rate environment relative to India increases the incentive for capital to flow out, a pressure that intensifies exactly when India's own reserves are already under strain.



COUNTER

The RBI has historically managed exchange-rate volatility successfully through calibrated intervention without needing to formally abandon any leg of the trinity, and India's reserve buffer, even under current pressure, remains larger than during past crisis episodes.



WAY FORWARD

Address the current account deficit's structural drivers, particularly oil-import dependence, directly, rather than relying on exchange-rate defence mechanisms to buy time indefinitely.


MAINS ANSWER FRAMEWORK
QUESTION

The Mundell-Fleming Impossible Trinity holds that a country cannot simultaneously maintain a fixed exchange rate, free capital movement and independent monetary policy. Examine how this framework applies to the RBI's current exchange-rate management challenge. (250 words)

INTRODUCTION

The Reserve Bank of India's managed-float exchange-rate regime, which does not fix the rupee's value but intervenes to smooth volatility, is facing simultaneous pressure from rupee depreciation, capital outflows linked to relatively higher US interest rates, and a current account deficit rooted in oil-import dependence, testing the practical limits of the Mundell-Fleming Impossible Trinity.

BODY

The Impossible Trinity holds that a country cannot simultaneously maintain a fixed exchange rate, free cross-border capital movement, and an independent monetary policy calibrated to domestic conditions; it can choose at most two of the three. India's managed float is, in practice, an attempt to occupy a middle position, retaining substantial capital account openness and monetary policy independence while smoothing, rather than fixing, the exchange rate through active but not absolute intervention.

The RBI's toolkit for this includes spot market interventions (buying or selling dollars directly), forward contracts (committing to future transactions at set rates), FCNR(B) deposit schemes (attracting foreign-currency deposits from non-resident Indians to shore up reserves), and non-deliverable forwards (offshore rupee-dollar contracts that influence onshore sentiment without direct reserve deployment). Each of these tools, however, either consumes reserves directly or creates a future obligation, meaning sustained use narrows the room available for the next round of pressure, precisely the dynamic straining the RBI's position as depreciation, outflows and reserve depletion compound each other.

CONCLUSION

A managed float can smooth volatility but cannot indefinitely offset a structural current account deficit rooted in oil dependence; addressing that structural driver directly, through energy diversification and import substitution, would do more to durably ease exchange-rate pressure than any refinement of the RBI's intervention toolkit.

RELATED DAILY ARTICLES

29 Jul **Current Affairs Today, July 29, 2026**

29 Jul **Who Should Own the Runway and the Airline: India's...**

28 Jul **Current Affairs Today, July 28, 2026**

28 Jul **The MSME Development (Amendment) Bill, 2026: Fixing...**



CURATED & WRITTEN BY

Bharat Choudhary

UPSC Educator & Content Creator

[in linkedin.com/in/epicbharat](https://www.linkedin.com/in/epicbharat)[Read Full Article on Ujiyari →](#)<https://ujiyari.com/editorials/2026/07/th-rbi-impossible-trinity-exchange-rate-2026/>

ALSO FROM THE CREATOR

BharatNotes

Free UPSC study platform — subject-wise notes across all 4 GS papers, Prelims MCQs, Mains answer frameworks, PYQ analysis & progress tracking. **100% Free • No Login Required.**

[Start Preparing → bharatnotes.com](#)

📌 OPPORTUNITY

Advertise with Ujiyari

Reach **thousands of serious UPSC & State PCS aspirants** daily through our PDFs, website, and social channels.

Ideal for: Coaching institutes • EdTech platforms • Book publishers • Exam prep apps

[✉ epicbharat@gmail.com](mailto:epicbharat@gmail.com)

Write to us for rates & media kit

Free UPSC & State PCS Current Affairs · ujiyari.com · bharatnotes.com