

UPSC & STATE PCS CURRENT AFFAIRS · [UJIYARI.COM](https://ujiyari.com)**EDITORIAL ANALYSIS**

When the Model Is Wrong: Fixing Accountability for AI in Finance

 **BUSINESS STANDARD**

24 July 2026

ECONOMY**GS3**

CURATED & WRITTEN BY

**Bharat Choudhary**

UPSC Educator & Content Creator

 linkedin.com/in/epicbharat**ALSO FROM THE CREATOR****BharatNotes**Free UPSC notes, MCQs, PYQ analysis. **100% Free.**bharatnotes.com → **ADVERTISE****Advertise with Ujiyari**

Reach thousands of UPSC aspirants daily.

 epicbharat@gmail.com

When the Model Is Wrong: Fixing Accountability for AI in Finance

Business Standard 24 July 2026 **GS3**

✓ Every fact web-verified against primary sources

THE LIFT LINE

“An algorithm can deny a loan, price a risk or flag a fraud in milliseconds, but when it is wrong, a human institution must still own the consequence. The RBI’s draft on model risk is really a draft on accountability.”

As banks and Non-Banking Financial Companies scale **AI-driven and ML-driven lending**, the Reserve Bank of India has moved to ensure that automation does not dilute responsibility. Its **draft guidance on model risk management** insists that the regulated entity, not the vendor or the code, remains liable when a model fails. This editorial argues that trustworthy models, human accountability and clear disclosure are now preconditions for both financial stability and consumer protection.

WHY THIS EDITORIAL MATTERS FOR YOUR EXAM

This theme sits at the intersection of financial-sector regulation, technology governance and consumer protection, a combination examiners increasingly favour because it forces you to weigh innovation against systemic risk. The RBI’s attempt to govern **model risk** without freezing financial innovation is a live case study in regulatory design.

It also connects the abstract idea of responsible AI to a concrete, high-stakes domain where a design flaw becomes a household’s denied credit or a bank’s hidden loss.

GS Paper 3: Indian economy, mobilisation of resources, banking-sector regulation, and the role of science and technology and awareness in the field of IT and its applications.

GS Paper 2: Regulatory bodies and consumer protection, since the RBI acts to protect borrowers from opaque algorithmic decisions.

For **Prelims**, hold the specifics: the RBI is the banking regulator under the **Banking Regulation Act, 1949** and the **RBI Act, 1934**; **model risk** is the risk of loss from decisions based on wrong or misused models; the draft applies to **banks and NBFCs**; the **FREE-AI committee** framed responsible AI in finance; and personal data is separately governed by the **Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023**.

For **Mains**, frame the tension crisply: AI can widen credit access and cut costs, but only if accountability, validation and disclosure keep pace, and smaller lenders are helped to comply rather than pushed out.

BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

Indian lenders have moved rapidly from rule-based credit scoring to **machine-learning models** that assess creditworthiness, detect fraud, price insurance and drive collections. These models learn from historical data, and that is precisely the problem: they can inherit bias, drift as conditions change, or fail silently when fed inputs they were never trained on.

Model risk is the danger that such a model, because it is wrong or misused, leads to a bad decision at scale. A single flawed underwriting model does not misjudge one borrower; it misjudges thousands in the same direction, which is how a technical error becomes a **systemic** one.

The RBI's draft guidance responds to this by treating models as regulated objects. It draws on the thinking of the **FREE-AI committee** (a Framework for Responsible and Ethical Enablement of AI in the financial sector) and places the governance burden squarely on the **board and senior management** of the regulated entity.

THE CORE ARGUMENT / ISSUE

The central claim is that automation cannot be allowed to launder responsibility. If a bank deploys a model, the bank owns every outcome that model produces, and the regulator will hold it to that.

Liability Stays With the Institution

The draft's sharpest move is to fix **liability for AI and ML model failures on the regulated entity**, not on the technology vendor or the third-party fintech that supplied the model. This closes a growing loophole in which lenders outsourced the decision but hoped to outsource the blame. The board must approve the **model-risk framework**, and senior management must own its implementation.

Humans, Validation and a Kill Switch

The guidance mandates a set of controls designed to keep a human in the loop and to make failure recoverable rather than catastrophic.

REQUIREMENT	WHAT IT MEANS	WHY IT MATTERS
Board-approved framework	The board signs off on model governance	Accountability starts at the top
Independent validation	A team separate from developers tests the model	Prevents self-certification of flawed models
Human oversight	A person can review and override outputs	Stops silent, unchecked automated harm
Customer disclosure	Borrowers told when AI drives a decision	Protects the consumer's right to know
Model kill switch	Ability to shut a model down instantly	Contains damage when a model goes wrong

Innovation Without Recklessness

The draft is not anti-technology. By demanding validation and disclosure rather than banning AI lending, it tries to let credit access expand while ensuring that the expansion is trustworthy. The honest counterpoint is capacity: a large private bank can staff an independent validation unit, but a small NBFC or cooperative lender may struggle, risking a two-tier market where only the big can comply.

HOW TO THINK ABOUT THIS (ANALYTICAL FRAME)

Every automated system distributes not just decisions but also the risk of error. The right regulatory question is never simply "is the AI accurate," because no model is perfectly accurate, but "when it errs at scale, who is accountable, who is protected, and how fast can the error be stopped?" The RBI's draft answers all three: the institution is accountable, the customer is protected through disclosure, and the kill switch stops the error. Map any AI-governance debate onto that triad of accountability, protection and containment, and the policy design becomes legible.

THE DIAGRAM IN WORDS

Banks and NBFCs scale AI/ML lending -> model risk: wrong or misused model errs at scale
-> RBI draft on model risk management -> liability fixed on the regulated entity ->
board-approved framework + independent validation + human oversight + customer
disclosure + kill switch -> trustworthy models + protected consumers -> but small
lenders need capacity support -> financial stability with responsible innovation

WAY FORWARD

- 1 **Anchor accountability at the board.** Keep liability with the regulated entity so that no lender can hide behind a vendor, making the board the ultimate owner of every model deployed.
- 2 **Institutionalise independent validation.** Require a validation function separate from model developers, with authority to reject or retire models, so that no team certifies its own work.
- 3 **Support smaller lenders to comply.** Offer shared validation utilities, standardised toolkits and phased timelines so that cooperative banks and small NBFCs are not priced out of responsible AI.
- 4 **Make disclosure meaningful.** Ensure customers are told in plain language when AI drives a credit or pricing decision, and give them a route to human review, aligning with the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023.

PYQ LINKAGE AND PRACTICE

- **UPSC GS3 (2023):** “Explain the role of the Reserve Bank of India as a regulator of the banking system.” (regulatory mandate)
- **UPSC GS3 (2018):** Questions on the digital economy and its risks.
- **UPSC GS2 (2020):** On the role of regulatory bodies in consumer protection.

Practice question (250 words, 15 marks): “As banks and NBFCs scale AI-driven lending, model risk becomes a systemic risk. Examine the RBI’s draft model risk management framework and assess how it balances financial innovation with accountability and consumer protection.”

Sources: Business Standard, Reserve Bank of India

Source: When the Model Is Wrong: Fixing Accountability for AI in Finance — Ujiyari.com | Free UPSC & State PCS Editorial Analysis

RELATED DAILY ARTICLES

25 Jul **NATGASIND: NSE Launches India's First...**

24 Jul **Thirty Five Years of the 1991 Economic Reforms**

23 Jul **US Tariffs on Generic Drugs and the Challenge for...**

21 Jul **Mission for Cotton Productivity and India's White Gold...**



CURATED & WRITTEN BY

Bharat Choudhary

UPSC Educator & Content Creator

[in linkedin.com/in/epicbharat](https://www.linkedin.com/in/epicbharat)[Read Full Article on Ujiyari →](#)<https://ujiyari.com/editorials/2026/07/bs-rbi-model-risk-ai-finance-2026/>

ALSO FROM THE CREATOR

BharatNotes

Free UPSC study platform — subject-wise notes across all 4 GS papers, Prelims MCQs, Mains answer frameworks, PYQ analysis & progress tracking. **100% Free • No Login Required.**

[Start Preparing → bharatnotes.com](#)

📌 OPPORTUNITY

Advertise with Ujiyari

Reach **thousands of serious UPSC & State PCS aspirants** daily through our PDFs, website, and social channels.

Ideal for: Coaching institutes • EdTech platforms • Book publishers • Exam prep apps

[✉ epicbharat@gmail.com](mailto:epicbharat@gmail.com)

Write to us for rates & media kit

Free UPSC & State PCS Current Affairs · ujiyari.com · bharatnotes.com