UPSC & STATE PCS CURRENT AFFAIRS · UJIYARI.COM

EDITORIAL ANALYSIS

When a Few Mega Projects Flatter the Capex Numbers

BUSINESS STANDARD

20 July 2026 · ECONOMY · GS3

CURATED & WRITTEN BY

Bharat Choudhary

UPSC Educator & Content Creator

linkedin.com/in/epicbharat

When a Few Mega Projects Flatter the Capex Numbers

Business Standard 20 July 2026 GS3

Every fact web-verified against primary sources

THE LIFT LINE

India's private capital expenditure story looks stronger on the surface than it is underneath. A cluster of very large projects, most visibly in **AI compute and data centres** and in **power**, is inflating the headline capex figure, while the broad base of private **manufacturing** investment remains subdued. Concentration is not breadth. A few mega commitments cannot substitute for the thousands of factory-level investment decisions that a genuine private investment cycle requires, and those decisions still await a convincing revival of demand and capacity utilisation.

WHY THIS EDITORIAL MATTERS FOR YOUR EXAM

The private investment cycle is one of the most examined themes in the economy paper, because investment is the engine of medium-term growth and job creation. You are expected to explain why private capex has been weak, what could revive it, and how public investment interacts with it. The novelty here is that the headline number can mislead: a handful of digital-infrastructure and power projects can make aggregate capex look buoyant even when the underlying manufacturing cycle is flat.

Getting this distinction right lets you avoid the common error of citing a rising capex figure as proof that "animal spirits are back", when the composition tells a more cautious story.

GS Paper 3: Indian economy; mobilisation of resources; growth, development and employment; infrastructure and investment.

For **Prelims**, hold the specifics: **Gross Fixed Capital Formation (GFCF)** is the national-accounts measure of investment in fixed assets and a proxy for the investment rate; **capacity utilisation** is the share of installed capacity actually in use and is a standard trigger for fresh capex; **animal spirits** refers to the confidence and willingness of firms to invest; **public capex** can **crowd in** private investment by building infrastructure and generating demand.

For **Mains**, the argument is that concentrated **digital-infrastructure** capex cannot stand in for a broad revival of private manufacturing investment, and that reviving demand and lifting capacity utilisation are the real triggers.

BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

Investment in the economy is captured by **Gross Fixed Capital Formation**, and its private-corporate component is what economists mean when they discuss the “private capex cycle”. For much of the recent period this cycle has been muted: firms have preferred to deleverage, run existing plants harder and wait for clearer demand signals before committing to new capacity.

Lately the headline private-capex numbers have firmed up. But a large part of that improvement traces to a small number of very big projects, especially in **AI compute and data centres** and in **power** generation and transmission. These are lumpy investments: a single hyperscale data-centre campus or a large power project can add a very large sum to the aggregate, tilting the total upward without reflecting any broad change in factory-floor investment behaviour.

This is the crux. Headline capex driven by a few mega projects is different in kind from broad-based private manufacturing investment, which spreads across many firms and sectors and signals genuine confidence in future demand. The former can coexist with a still-weak cycle; only the latter confirms a durable revival.

THE CORE ARGUMENT / ISSUE

The core argument is that the composition of capex matters as much as its level. A rising aggregate built on a handful of digital-infrastructure and power projects overstates the health of the private investment cycle, because the manufacturing base that drives jobs and capacity is not yet participating.

Concentration Masks a Weak Base

When a few lumpy projects dominate, the average moves but the median firm does not. Most manufacturers are not announcing greenfield capacity; they are waiting. An aggregate lifted by outliers can therefore signal buoyancy that the broad base does not share, which is why analysts must look beneath the headline to the spread of investment across firms and sectors.

Capacity Utilisation Is the Real Trigger

Firms add capacity when existing plants are running near full and demand is expected to keep rising. **Capacity utilisation** is thus the pivotal variable. Until utilisation is sustainably high across manufacturing, broad-based capex will lag, no matter how large individual data-centre or power commitments are. Digital-infrastructure spending is driven by a different logic and does not, on its own, lift factory utilisation.

Public Capex Must Crowd In, Not Crowd Out

Sustained **public capex** on infrastructure can crowd in private investment by lowering costs, improving logistics and generating demand. The task is to convert that public push, and the demand it creates, into private manufacturing confidence, so that the animal spirits appear across the base and not just in a few marquee projects.

FEATURE	CONCENTRATED HEADLINE CAPEX	BROAD-BASED PRIVATE CAPEX
Source	A few AI data-centre and power projects	Many firms across manufacturing
Distribution	Lumpy, outlier-driven	Wide across sectors
Signal	Weak read on the cycle	Genuine revival of confidence
Employment effect	Limited, capital-intensive	Larger, capacity and jobs
Trigger	Sector-specific demand	High capacity utilisation and demand

HOW TO THINK ABOUT THIS (ANALYTICAL FRAME)

Frame private capex through three questions. First, **level or composition?** A higher aggregate means little until you ask whether it is broad or concentrated in a handful of projects. Second, **what is the trigger?** Broad manufacturing capex follows **capacity utilisation** and demand expectations, so ask whether plants are running full and whether firms expect demand to grow, not merely whether a few large projects were announced. Third, **public and private together:** treat public capex as a catalyst whose job is to **crowd in** private investment, and judge success by whether the base responds. Applied together, these prevent the mistake of reading a mega-project-led headline as a return of animal spirits, and keep the focus on the demand and utilisation conditions that actually restart the broad private cycle.

THE DIAGRAM IN WORDS

```
A few large AI data-centre and power projects -> lift headline private capex (GFCF) ->
aggregate looks buoyant -> but investment is concentrated, not broad -> manufacturing
base still waiting -> because capacity utilisation and demand are not yet strong enough
-> animal spirits absent across the base -> public capex must crowd in private
manufacturing -> only broad-based capex confirms a durable investment cycle
```

WAY FORWARD

1. **Read composition, not just the headline.** Separate lumpy digital-infrastructure and power projects from broad manufacturing capex before judging the strength of the private investment cycle.
2. **Revive demand and utilisation.** Support consumption and exports so that **capacity utilisation** rises sustainably, the real trigger for broad-based factory investment.
3. **Use public capex to crowd in.** Sustain infrastructure spending and improve logistics and ease of doing business so private manufacturers respond, converting public investment into private confidence.

4. **Widen the base of animal spirits.** Reduce policy and cost uncertainty for the median firm, not just the marquee investor, so that investment spreads across sectors rather than clustering in a few projects.

PYQ LINKAGE AND PRACTICE

UPSC regularly examines investment, infrastructure and the growth-employment link (2021: "Explain intra-generational and inter-generational issues of equity from the perspective of inclusive growth and sustainable development"; recurring questions on public expenditure, infrastructure financing and reviving private investment). This editorial equips you to argue why a rising capex headline may not signal a broad revival.

Practice question: "A rise in headline private capital expenditure driven by a few mega projects does not amount to a revival of the private investment cycle." Critically examine, with reference to the role of capacity utilisation and public capex. (15 marks, 250 words)

Sources: Business Standard, Reserve Bank of India

RELATED DAILY ARTICLES

25 Jul NATGASIND: NSE Launches India's First...

24 Jul Thirty Five Years of the 1991 Economic Reforms

23 Jul US Tariffs on Generic Drugs and the Challenge for...

21 Jul Mission for Cotton Productivity and India's White Gold...

CURATED & WRITTEN BY

Bharat Choudhary

UPSC Educator & Content Creator

linkedin.com/in/epicbharat

📖 Read Full Article on Ujiyari →

https://ujiyari.com/editorials/2026/07/bs-private-capex-concentration-2026/