



UPSC & STATE PCS CURRENT AFFAIRS · UJIYARI.COM

EDITORIAL ANALYSIS

More Children Isn't the Answer to Delimitation and Tax Challenges

INDIAN EXPRESS

27 June 2026 · **SOCIAL ISSUES** · **GS1** · **GS2**

CURATED & WRITTEN BY

**Bharat Choudhary**

UPSC Educator & Content Creator


[linkedin.com/in/epicbharat](https://www.linkedin.com/in/epicbharat)**ALSO FROM THE CREATOR****BharatNotes**Free UPSC notes, MCQs, PYQ analysis. **100% Free.**bharatnotes.com →**ADVERTISE****Advertise with Ujiyari**

Reach thousands of UPSC aspirants daily.

epicbharat@gmail.com



More Children Isn't the Answer to Delimitation and Tax Challenges

 **The Indian Express** 27 June 2026 **GS1** **GS2**

Source: ujyari.com — researched, fact-checked & UPSC-mapped



INTERVIEW ANGLE

"Should a State be penalised for successfully controlling its population? How does a federation reconcile representation by population with rewarding good governance?"

Source: [Original editorial](#)  [The Indian Express](#)

 **Every fact web-verified against primary sources** (<https://ujyari.com/how-we-verify/>)

WHY THIS MATTERS NOW

With delimitation (<https://ujyari.com/vocab/delimitation/>) due after the freeze extended to 2026 and devolution debates recurring at every Finance Commission, some political leaders have begun urging citizens to have more children to defend their State's seats and tax share. This pronatalism collides with one of India's great development successes: a fertility rate that has fallen to around replacement level. For an aspirant, the issue links population, federalism and fiscal policy, a rich GS1 plus GS2 intersection.

THE CRUX IN 60 WORDS

Pronatalist appeals misdiagnose the problem. India's fertility decline is a welcome development outcome, not a threat. The real anxieties, that delimitation will shift Lok Sabha seats toward high-fertility States and that population-weighted devolution (<https://ujyari.com/terms/devolution/>) penalises demographically responsible States, are problems of federal design. The cure is institutional reform of representation and devolution, not raising the birth rate.

THE ISSUE, DECODED

Ujjiyari Current Affairs - ujjiyari.com - Free Daily Current Affairs for UPSC & State PCS

CONCEPT	WHAT IT MEANS	WHY IT MATTERS
Total Fertility Rate (https://ujjiyari.com/terms/total-fertility-rate/) (TFR)	Average children per woman over her lifetime	India is around replacement level (about 2.0 to 2.1)
Delimitation	Redrawing constituency boundaries and seat allocation by population	Frozen till 2026; could expand high-fertility States' seats
Replacement Level	TFR of about 2.1 at which population stabilises	Falling below it is a development milestone, not a crisis
Fiscal Devolution	Sharing central tax revenue with States via the Finance Commission (https://ujjiyari.com/terms/finance-commission/)	Population-weighted formulas can penalise low-fertility States
Demographic Dividend (https://ujjiyari.com/terms/demographic-dividend/)	Growth boost from a large working-age population	India's window must be used through jobs and skilling

THE ANALYSIS

- 1 The fertility decline is a success, not a failure.** A TFR at or below replacement reflects female education, better health and rising incomes. Reversing it for political arithmetic would unwind decades of development gains.
- 2 The delimitation anxiety is genuine but misframed.** Seat allocation frozen since the 1976 amendment and extended in 2002 to the first census after 2026 means a fresh exercise could enlarge the share of populous northern States, leaving southern States feeling penalised for controlling population early.
- 3 Devolution can punish demographic responsibility.** (<https://ujjiyari.com/vocab/demographic/>) When Finance Commission formulas weight population heavily, States that stabilised their numbers can receive a smaller per-capita share, breeding a sense of fiscal injustice.
- 4 Pronatalism worsens the future.** More births today do not fix today's representation problem and they enlarge tomorrow's dependency and ageing burdens. It is the wrong tool for the wrong timeframe.

- 5 **The real fix is federal.** Representation can be protected by freezing or capping relative seat shares and strengthening the Rajya Sabha as a chamber of States; devolution can reward performance. These are constitutional and institutional levers, not demographic ones.

DATA AND INSTITUTIONS VAULT

CARRY THESE INTO THE EXAM HALL.

TFR: India's total fertility rate has fallen to around 2.0, at or just below the replacement level of 2.1 (NFHS-5 recorded about 2.0).

Seat freeze: The 42nd Amendment (1976) froze Lok Sabha seat allocation; the 84th Amendment (2002) extended it to the first census after 2026.

Article 81 and 82: Govern composition of the Lok Sabha and readjustment (delimitation) after each census.

Finance Commission: Article 280; horizontal devolution criteria include population, income distance, area, forest cover, demographic performance and tax effort.

Demographic performance: The 15th Finance Commission introduced a demographic-performance criterion to reward States that lowered fertility.

Rajya Sabha: The Council of States; seats are weighted by population, limiting its role as an equaliser of States.

THE DEBATE

For pronatalism: Without more people, demographically responsible States lose seats and fiscal share, so the political instinct to protect numbers is understandable.

Against: Reversing a hard-won fertility decline is developmentally regressive (<https://ujivari.com/vocab/regressiv e/>), deepens future ageing costs, and still does not solve the underlying federal-design problem.

Balanced verdict: The grievance is legitimate, the remedy is not. India should not weaponise birth rates. It should protect representation through seat-share design and a stronger second chamber, and reward demographic and fiscal performance in devolution. Fix the federation's rules, not family size.

HOW TO THINK ABOUT THIS (TRANSFERABLE SKILL)

When a policy debate proposes a behavioural fix (have more children) for what is actually a structural problem (constituency and devolution formulas), name the mismatch explicitly. Ask: at what level does the problem live, individual, institutional or constitutional? The solution must operate at the same level. This exposes populist non-solutions instantly.

DIAGRAM-IN-WORDS

Ujjayari Current Affairs - ujjayari.com - Free Daily Current Affairs for UPSC & State PCS

Fertility decline (development success) -> delimitation + devolution anxieties in low-TFR States -> wrong fix: pronatalism (worsens ageing, ignores design) -> right fix: cap/freeze seat shares + strengthen Rajya Sabha + reward demographic performance -> federal equity preserved

THE WAY FORWARD

- 1 Protect representation by design.** Freeze or cap relative Lok Sabha seat shares so no State is penalised for controlling population, and build broad political consensus before the post-2026 exercise.
- 2 Strengthen the second chamber.** Empower (<https://ujjayari.com/vocab/empower/>) the Rajya Sabha as a genuine chamber of States to balance population-driven shifts in the Lok Sabha.
- 3 Reform devolution.** Expand the demographic-performance and tax-effort weights in Finance Commission formulas to reward responsibility.
- 4 Reap the dividend, prepare for ageing.** Invest in skilling, jobs and women's workforce participation now, and build pensions and elder-care for the coming demographic transition.
- 5 Drop pronatalism.** Treat the fertility decline as the achievement it is and resist appeals to reverse it for electoral arithmetic.

THE TAKEAWAY BOX

Ujijari Current Affairs - ujijari.com - Free Daily Current Affairs for UPSC & State PCS

Argue that pronatalism is the wrong response; delimitation and devolution anxieties are federal-design problems requiring constitutional and fiscal reform, not a higher birth rate.

“A State should never be punished for its success in controlling population; the answer to a federal design flaw is federal reform, not more children.”

42nd and 84th Amendments and the seat freeze; Articles 81, 82, 280; replacement-level TFR of 2.1; NFHS-5 TFR around 2.0; 15th Finance Commission demographic-performance criterion.

Is it just to penalise a population for being demographically responsible? How should leaders resist short-term electoral incentives that harm long-term development?

“Discuss the consequences of climate change on the food security in tropical countries” style population-resource questions, and GS2 questions on the Finance Commission and fiscal federalism (<https://ujijari.com/terms/fiscal-federalism/>); GS1 on population and associated issues.

Demographic dividend, fiscal federalism (<https://ujijari.com/terms/federalism/>), cooperative and competitive federalism, women’s empowerment and education, ageing population policy.

Sources: *Indian Express* (<https://indianexpress.com>), *Finance Commission of India* (<https://fincomindia.nic.in>), *PIB* (<https://pib.gov.in>)

Source: More Children Isn't the Answer to Delimitation and Tax Challenges – Ujijari.com | Free UPSC & State PCS Editorial Analysis

KEY ARGUMENTS AT A GLANCE

 Ujjayanti Current Affairs · ujjayanti.com · Free Daily Current Affairs for UPSC & State PCS

Calls to raise fertility to protect a State's political and fiscal share are misguided; the real solutions to delimitation anxieties and tax-devolution disputes lie in federal and governance reform, not in reversing India's welcome fertility decline.

 **SUPPORTING**

- India's fertility decline below replacement level is a development success that must not be undone for short-term political-arithmetic reasons.
- The 2026-onward delimitation question pits high-fertility northern States against low-fertility southern States over Lok Sabha seat shares, raising genuine federal equity concerns.
- Finance Commission devolution formulas that weight population can penalise States that successfully stabilised their populations, fuelling a sense of fiscal injustice.

 **COUNTER**

Some argue that without protecting representation, demographically responsible States lose voice, so political incentives to slow fertility decline are understandable, even if economically unsound.

 **WAY FORWARD**

Address representation through capping or freezing relative seat shares and strengthening the second chamber; reform devolution to reward demographic and fiscal performance; and invest in the demographic dividend and elder-care, not pronatalism.


MAINS ANSWER FRAMEWORK

 Ujjyari Current Affairs - ujjyari.com · Free Daily Current Affairs for UPSC & State PCS

QUESTION

Pronatalist appeals to raise fertility are the wrong response to the looming challenges of delimitation and fiscal devolution. Critically examine, suggesting federal reforms instead. (250 words)

INTRODUCTION

As India approaches a delayed delimitation and recurring debates over tax devolution, some leaders have urged families to have more children to protect their State's political and fiscal weight. This pronatalist turn diagnoses the problem wrongly.

BODY

India's total fertility rate has fallen to around replacement level or below, a hard-won outcome of female education, health access and rising incomes. Reversing it for political arithmetic would be a developmental step backward, worsening the eventual ageing burden rather than easing it.

The genuine grievances are federal, not demographic. Delimitation, deferred since the 1976 freeze and extended in 2002 to 2026, threatens to expand the Lok Sabha share of high-fertility States at the expense of southern States that stabilised their populations early.

Finance Commission formulas that weight population similarly risk penalising demographic responsibility. The remedy is institutional.

Representation can be protected by freezing relative seat shares or capping the increase, and by empowering the Rajya Sabha as a genuine chamber of States. Devolution can be redesigned to reward performance on population, governance and revenue effort.

Meanwhile, India should focus on reaping the demographic dividend through skilling and jobs, and on preparing for ageing through pensions and elder-care. The answer to a federal design problem is federal reform, not more births.

CONCLUSION

Encouraging more children to win a representation contest punishes success and stores up an ageing crisis. India must fix the rules of its federation, not the size of its families.


RELATED DAILY ARTICLES

24 Jun [Re-Anchoring India's Public Health Architecture to...](#)

23 Jun [NMC to Phase Out PG Diploma Medical Courses](#)

21 Jun [12th International Day of Yoga 2026: "Yoga for Healthy...](#)

21 Jun **Right to Walk: Footpaths as a Fundamental Right**

Ujjyari Current Affairs · ujjyari.com · Free Daily Current Affairs for UPSC & State PCS

Ujiyari Current Affairs · ujiyari.com · **Free Daily** Current Affairs for UPSC & State PCS

CURATED & WRITTEN BY

Bharat Choudhary

UPSC Educator & Content Creator

[linkedin.com/in/epicbharat](https://www.linkedin.com/in/epicbharat)[Read Full Article on Ujiyari →](#)<https://ujiyari.com/editorials/2026/06/ie-fertility-delimitation-not-more-children-2026/>

ALSO FROM THE CREATOR

BharatNotes

Free UPSC study platform — subject-wise notes across all 4 GS papers, Prelims MCQs, Mains answer frameworks, PYQ analysis & progress tracking. **100% Free • No Login Required.**

[Start Preparing → bharatnotes.com](https://bharatnotes.com)

📌 OPPORTUNITY

Advertise with Ujiyari

Reach **thousands of serious UPSC & State PCS aspirants** daily through our PDFs, website, and social channels.

Ideal for: Coaching institutes • EdTech platforms • Book publishers • Exam prep apps

[✉ epicbharat@gmail.com](mailto:epicbharat@gmail.com)

Write to us for rates & media kit

Free UPSC & State PCS Current Affairs · ujiyari.com · bharatnotes.com